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#### Abstract

The simple 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne $\mathbf{3}$ core structure of the esperamicins/calicheamicin can be readily functionalized in an oxidative manner to introduce the 1,2 double bond and $3 \beta$-oxygen substituent. The $3 \beta$-hydroxyl substituent allowed the intramolecular introduction of a nitrogen functionality at C 2 , but the resulting cyclic carbamate was too resistant to hydrolysis to be synthetically useful. The allylic trisulfide trigger can be installed in a straightforward manner via Wittig chemistry on the 13 -keto group. The relatively simple chemistry needed to functionalize the core system should allow access to a wide variety of analogues for biological evaluation of these potent antitumor agents.


## Introduction

In the accompanying paper the synthesis of the core structure of calicheamicin 1 and esperamicin 2, namely, 13-ketobicyclo-

[7.3.1]tridecenediyne 3 is described. ${ }^{1}$ It is readily made from the commercially available components ( $Z$ )-dichloroethylene, acetylene, propargyl alcohol, and cyclohexane-1,2-dione. Scheme I summarizes the overall route. The propargylic alcohol 4 was regiospecifically complexed with $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ to give the $\eta^{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ adduct 5 (84\%), which on treatment with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 2,6$ -di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine (BMP) gave 6 (77\%). Decomplexation of 6 by oxidation with iodine/benzene gave 3 ( $82 \%$ ) as a stable crystalline compound.

The 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 3 undergoes Bergman cycloaromatization at $79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of 1,4 -cyclohexadiene to give $7(>70 \%), t_{1 / 2} 45 \mathrm{~min} ; k=2.56 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \Delta G^{*}=26.3$ kcal mol ${ }^{-1}\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{2}$ Thus 3 provides a stable prototype model core enediyne structure upon which to examine the introduction

[^0]of an oxygen functionality at $\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4$, and Cl 2 and nitrogen at C 2 , and installation of the allylic trisulfide trigger. This nonconvergent strategy was adopted in order to investigate the types of transformations (particularly oxidative) that can be conducted on the intact enediyne core without destroying it. In this way it was hoped that a corpus of knowledge of enediyne chemistry could be accumulated which would be applicable to other members of this expanding new class of natural products. ${ }^{3}$

## C1-C13 Bridgehead Enolate Chemistry

The cyclohexanone ring in $\mathbf{3}$ adopts a boat conformation (the $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 12$ bond is equatorial to accommodate the enediyne in the 10 -membered ring), and consequently the axial Cl hydrogen atom is in the plane of the carbonyl $\pi$-system. This results in increased kinetic acidity since the developing carbanion at Cl 3 enjoys direct resonance delocalization without the necessity for geometric changes.
Treatment of 3 with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / t$ - $\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiOTf} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days gave the bridgehead tert-butyldimethylsilyl enol ether 9 (54\%) as a stable crystalline compound. More conveniently, 9 can be made from 3 by treatment with KHMDS/THF/t$\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiOTf}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h in $100 \%$ yield. If LiHMDS is used instead of KHMDS, the bridgehead alkylated compound 11 (70\%) is formed. Presumably the solvent tetrahydrofuran is cleaved by $t$ - $\mathrm{BuMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiOTf}^{2}$ to generate the alkylating agent 10 more rapidly than 8 is 0 -silylated. Treatment of 8 with $i$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{SiOTf} / \mathrm{THF}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by warming to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the
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corresponding triisopropylsilyl enol ether 12 (86\%). In an attempt to generate the propargylic-allylic anion derived from 9 in order to examine its reaction with oxygen electrophiles (introduction of C12 oxygen), we treated 9 with a variety of bases. In the cases of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}^{\prime} s-\mathrm{BuLi}$, and $t-\mathrm{BuLi}$, the enol ether was rapidly consumed and the only product isolated was 13 (7\%), which when $t$-BuLi was used gave suitable crystals for X-ray crystallography (Figure 1, supplementary material, shows an ORTEP representation of 13 ). The structure of 13 shows that the $t$-BuLi has added to the C 9 position to form the allenyl anion 9a (the propargyl resonance form is not shown). The anion 9a can transfer the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{t}$ group (intramolecularly or intermolecularly) to generate the enolate derivative of 13 , which upon work-up (protonation) gives 13.

Treatment of the bridgehead tert-butyldimethylsilyl enol ether 9 with phenylselenyl chloride followed by pyridine $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ gave the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 14 ( $66 \%$ ). ${ }^{4}$ It should be borne in mind that highly unsaturated molecules such as 14 have very simple ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra and as a consequence do not contain so much information (connectivity); thus it is important to be cautious when assigning structures. The enone 14 has unexceptional IR and UV spectral properties and undergoes reactions typically associated with an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone. For example, treatment of 14 with sodium peroxide gave the exceedingly stable epoxide $15\left(56 \%\right.$, inert to $\mathrm{PhSeNa}, \mathrm{NaN}_{3} / \mathrm{ZnI}_{2}$, $4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ ). Heating 14 at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 1,4 -cyclohexadiene in the presence of 4 -chlorothiophenol/ N -methyl-
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morpholine (cat.) provided the cycloaromatized adduct 16 ( $50 \%$ ), convincingly demonstrating that formation of the putative 1,4-diyl can be triggered intermolecularly by thiol addition to C 2 (change of Cl hybridization from $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ ). ${ }^{5}$

## Introduction of the C3 Oxygen Substituent

Treatment of 14 with trimethylsilyl triflate/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ gave the dienyl ether 17 (53\%), which was directly treated with phenylselenenyl chloride to give a mixture of C 1 and C 3 phenylselenenyl adducts 18/19 (1:4.5), respectively. It was found that the enone 14 could be converted into the C 1 phenylselenenyl adduct 18 ( $52 \%$ ) without deleterious formation of 19 by treatment with the Nicolaou reagent $N$-(phenylseleno)phthalimide, ${ }^{6}$ whereas treatment of 14 with phenylselenenyl chloride only gave products resulting from addition to the 10,11 acetylene, namely, 21. Oxidation of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ /pyridine gave the $3 \beta$-hydroxy 1,2 -enone 20 ( $76 \%$ ) via [2.3] sigmatropic rearrangement of the resulting selenoxide. ${ }^{7}$

The $3 \beta$-hydroxybicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne system 20 is available in two oxidative steps, both involving selenium chemistry. At this stage in the development of this research we did not have a method to introduce the $12 \beta$-hydroxy substituent. ${ }^{8}$ In a completely empirical manner we decided to treat the enol ether 9 with a variety of oxidizing agents with the expectation of observing either C12 functionalization (propargylic) or C3 functionalization (allylic). Without belaboring the fact, after the enol ether 9 was treated with a range of oxidants that only led to complex mixtures or complete destruction of 9 , it was found that treatment of 9 with $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ (1.1 equiv)/dioxane at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h gave the hemiketal $22(53 \%)$ as a stable crystalline material, $\mathrm{mp} 114-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, along with the enone 14 ( $14 \%$ ). ${ }^{9}$
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The structure and relative stereochemistry of 22 was determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. (Figure 2, supplementary material, shows an ORTEP representation of 22). Further exposure of 22 to $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ ( 1.1 equiv)/dioxane at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h gave the $3 \beta$-hydroxy compound 23 (45\%) along with starting material. Treatment of the hemihydrate 23 in pyridine with ( $N$-dimethylamino) pyridine cleanly converted it into the $3 \beta$-hydroxy enone $20(89 \%)$. The structure and relative stereochemistry of 23 was verified by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. (Figure 3, supplementary material, shows an ORTEP representation of 23. )

It was further found that $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}\left(73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 21 h$)$ cleanly converted the enone 14 into the $3 \beta$-hydroxy enone 20 ( $75 \%$ ) along with smaller amounts of the dienone 24 and the starting enone 14. This is a practical procedure that can be conducted on a $1-\mathrm{g}$ scale to provide 788 mg of $\mathbf{2 0}$.

## Introduction of the C2 Nitrogen Substituent

Treatment of the $3 \beta$-hydroxy enone 20 with ethoxycarbonyl isocyanate gave the carbamate 25 , which readily underwent intramolecular conjugate addition upon chromatography over silica gel to give the cyclic carbamate 26. The reversible nature of this cyclization was demonstrated by treatment of 26 with potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a mixture of 25 and 26 (1:1). As expected, the additional heterocyclic ring imposes increased strain in the transition state for cycloaromatization.
(9) Sharpless, K. B.; Lauer, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7154. Arigoni, D.; Vasella, A.; Sharpless, K. B.; Jensen, H. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7917. Jensen, H. P.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 264. Sharpless, K. B.; Gordon, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 300. Magnus, P.; Bennett, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3637. Stable hydrates of bridged ketones have been isolated, the most recent being: Bonjoch, J.; Quirante, J.; Serret, I.; Bosch, J. Telrahedron Lelt. 1989, 30, 1861.

Heating 26 at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h in 1,4 -cyclohexadiene resulted in $50 \%$ conversion into the aromatized adduct 28.

The cyclic carbamate 26 proved to be very resistant to hydrolysis without complete destruction. The only selective deprotection that could be achieved was the removal of the ethoxycarbonyl functionality by treatment with sodium carbonate in methanol, resulting in the formation of 27 (63\%). Treatment of the alcohol 20 with sodium cyanate in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid gave the carbamate 29 ( $89 \%$ ). Interestingly, if potassium cyanate is used, the alcohol 20 is recovered unchanged! ${ }^{10}$ The carbamate 29 was readily N -silylated by treatment with tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 30 (95\%). Treatment of the N -silylated derivative 30 with potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by $N$-(phenylseleno) phthalimide gave a mixture of the bridgehead selenide 31 and the protonated compound 32 (2:1). Oxidation of the mixture with hydrogen peroxide/pyridine resulted in elimination of the intermediate selenoxide into the 10 -membered ring to give the torsionally strained enone 33 ( $40 \%$ from 30 ). The infrared spectrum of 33 exhibits two carbonyl stretching frequencies at 1759 (carbamate) and $1744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( Cl 3 enone carbonyl). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows the C 12 enone proton at $\delta 5.67$ with a small coupling of 1.5 Hz to the C 9 olefinic proton. The bridgehead protonated compound 32 can be converted into the enone 33 (44\%) by the same procedure used to convert 30 into 33. Mild acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3 3}$ removed the $N$-silyl protection resulting in the carbamate 34. Ring opening of the cyclic carbamate 34 could not be achieved without complete destruction of the molecule.

Consequently, while the transformations described above provide a simple way to introduce the nitrogen functionality at C 2 , and may be of value for the synthesis of analogues, this route was not pursued further.

## Construction of the Allylic Trisulfide Trigger

While the allylic trisulfide ${ }^{11}$ might appear to be a formidable challenge, there is in fact a reasonable body of literature, particularly the work of Harpp, that shows the construction of allylic trisulfides to be a relatively straightforward task. ${ }^{12}$ At least in the case of the intermolecular version, the key issue is controlling the stereochemistry of the allylic substituent. On steric grounds alone, it may be predicted that the reaction of the enone 14 with a stabilized phosphonate carbanion should position the more
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sterically bulky groups toward the enone double bond. This then leads to the correct relative stereochemistry required for esperamicin/calicheamicin. Indeed treatment of the enone 14 with
diethyl cyanomethylphosphonate/ $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{DME}$ gave the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated nitrile 35 ( $90 \%$ ), as a single stereoisomer. At this stage we did not know the relative stereochemistry of 35 , but as will
be seen later, the configuration of the double bond was shown to be that depicted. The nitrile was reduced using diisobutylaluminum hydride, first to give the aldehyde 35 a (after hydrolysis of the intermediate the imine), and repetition of the same reduction gave the allylic alcohol 36 ( $89.5 \%$ overall yield from 35). The derived mesylate was converted into the thioacetate 37 (92\%) by treatment with freshly prepared sodium thioacetate in methanol. If commercial sodium thioacetate is used, the yield of 37 is substantially reduced.
Subsequent reduction of the thioacetate 37 with lithium aluminum hydride in ether followed by quenching the intermediate thiolate with $N$-(benzylthiosulfenyl)phthalimide ${ }^{12}$ gave the $S$ benzyl trisulfide 38 (92\%). The $S$-benzyl derivative was chosen [ $\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ is large enough to detect, for example, the corresponding disulfide $\left(-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}$ ] in order to examine the thermal stability of 38 with respect to potential [2.3] sigmatropic rearrangement processes ${ }^{13}$ and potential disproportionation processes. ${ }^{14}$ In the event thermolysis of $\mathbf{3 8}$ in toluene at reflux for several days only resulted in complete recovery of 38, and no evidence for any decomposition. ${ }^{14}$ The SMe analogue can be made in the same way. The sequence of transformations from the allylic alcohol to the trisulfide was used by Danishefsky ${ }^{15}$ in his synthesis of calicheamicinone, demonstrating that it is completely compatible with the more highly functionalized bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne systems.
We also examined more nucleophilic sources of thiolate, and it was in the course of this study, by chance, that unequivocal chemical evidence for the assigned stereochemistry of the 13,14 double bond was found. When the xanthate 39 was hydrolyzed using ethylenediamine, the cyclic sulfide $\mathbf{4 0}(60 \%)$ was isolated, thus confirming the stereochemical assignments.

## Summary

The simple 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne 3 core structure can be readily functionalized in an oxidative stepwise manner to introduce the 1,2 double bond and 3 -oxygen substituent. While the $4 \beta$-hydroxyl substituent allowed the intramolecular introduction of the nitrogen functionality at C 2 , the resulting cyclic carbamate was too resistant to hydrolysis to be synthetically useful. The allylic trisulfide can be installed in a straightforward manner via Wittig chemistry on the 13 -keto group. The relatively simple chemistry needed to functionalize the core system should allow access to a wide variety of analogues for biological evaluation. We are currently applying the above chemistry to a 13 -ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecenediyne core in which the $12 \beta$-hydroxyl substituent is present from an early stage in the synthesis. ${ }^{8}$

## Experimental Section

Melting points were taken on a Thomas-Hoover capillary tube apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer 1600 FTIR spectrophotometer or a 881 grating spectrophotometer either neat or in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as indicated. Ultraviolet spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 3B UV/vis spectrophotometer in the indicated solvents. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a General Electric QE-300, $300-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer or a Bruker $500-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer in the indicated solvent and are reported in ppm downfield from TMS. Carbon-13 NMR spectra were recorded on a General Electric QE-300 spectrometer at 75 MHz in the solvent indicated and are also reported in ppm downfield from TMS. Elemental analyses were performed by Midwest Microlab in Indianapolis, IN. Routine monitoring of reactions was performed using Merck $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ silica gel, aluminum-backed TLC plates. Preparative layer chromatography was performed using Merck

[^5]$60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ silica gel, glass-supported plates. Flash column chromatography was performed with the indicated solvents on Merck $60 \mathrm{HF}_{254}$ silica gel. Gas-liquid chromatography was performed on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 system, using an HP-1 capillary column. Air- and moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under the usual inert atmosphere conditions. Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed in glassware dried by a Bunsen flame or in an oven at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then cooled under argon, and performed under a blanket of argon. Solvents and commercial reagents were dried and purified before use: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and THF were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and benzene were distilled from calcium hydride under argon.

5,13-Bis((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]trideca-8,13-diene$\mathbf{6 , 1 0}$-diyne (9). To a solution of the ketone $3(2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 8.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a toluene solution of 0.5 M potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $17.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ equiv). The solution was stirred for 5 min and tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2$ equiv) added dropwise. After 20 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution ( 100 mL ) and extracted with pentane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ solution was evaporated in vacuo to give an oil which was purified by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with $10 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give 9: $3.63 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{100} \mathrm{\%}$; $\mathrm{mp} 82-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2355,2331,2167,1637 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15-2.00(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.57(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.02(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.22(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 70.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.40$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 69.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.35$.

Carrying out the same reaction as above using triisopropylsilyl triflate to quench the potassium enolate gave 12 ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ from 57.2 mg of 3): $\mathrm{mp} 79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ether/hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2179,1661,1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.13-1.97 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.21$ $(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.34(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 470.3036$, found $m / e$ 470.3036. The derived $\eta^{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ adduct has ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28-1.92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.24(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.21(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.99(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Conducting the above experiment with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide gave the bridgehead alkylated adduct 11: 70\%; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.5-1.04$ $(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.1(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.96(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.03$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 206.80,124.70,121.05,100.43$, $97.96,91.86,83.20,74.29,62.64,53.84,39.20,34.74,33.06,30.75,26.83$, $25.94,25.89,20.32,18.35,18.31,16.29,-2.95,-3.14,-5.29$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 500.3142$, found $m / e 500.3142$.

13-Keto-5-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]-6-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)$9 \beta$-tert-butylbicyclo[7.3.1]trideca-6,7-dienyne (13). To a solution of the enol ether 9 ( $38.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 1 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $t-\mathrm{BuLi}(64 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 1.7 M solution in pentane). After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ trimethylsilyl chloride ( $35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.27$ mmol ) was added and the mixture held at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 30 min. The solution was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with pentane ( 10 mL ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ pentane solution was evaporated in vacuo to give an oil. Purification by plate layer chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane, gave recovered $9(8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%)$ and a polar component $13: 3.1$ $\mathrm{mg}, 7 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 144-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1919$ (m), 1742 (w), 1701 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=4.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20-2.73(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.11(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.03(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 0.07 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ); HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 486.3349$, found $m / e$ 486.3351. Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray crystallography were grown from hexane.

13-Keto-5-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]trideca-1,8-di-ene-6,10-diyne (14). To a solution of the enol ether $9(3.63 \mathrm{~g})$ in dichloromethane ( 50 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise with stirring a solution of phenylselenenyl chloride ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1$ equiv) in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ). After 15 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ pyridine ( 8.5 mL ) was added to the above mixture followed by hydrogen peroxide ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \%$ aqueous solution). The cooling bath was removed and the mixture allowed to warm to room temperature. After 1 h saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ) was added and the resulting mixture stirred for a further 6 h . The mixture was poured on to saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 100 mL ), the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extracts evaporated in vacuo to give an oil which was purified by chro-
matography over silica gel, eluting with $10 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give 14: $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2950,2030,2855,2194,1710,1250$, $1156,978,836,780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \lambda_{\max }(\epsilon \mathrm{MeOH}) 237$ (4140), 272 (3880) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.35$ ( 1 H , ddd, $J=0.55,1.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.82\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ABXY}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.5,1.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.01$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46-2.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 0.92(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.45,138.46,136.78,124.24,120.90,100.58,95.96,91.57$, $74.45,34.86,25.88,25.30,24.00,18.38,-2.94,-3.27$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Bu}^{4} \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right) 255.0847$, found $m / e 255.0838$.

13-Keto-5-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]-1,2 $\beta$-oxabicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-8-ene-6,10-diyne (15). A solution of the enone 14 ( 23 mg ) in methanol ( 1 mL ) at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with basic hydrogen peroxide solution (300 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} / 130 \mathrm{mg}$ of NaOH ) for 3 h . The mixture was poured into water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ether ( 10 mL ). The dried ( Mg $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) ether layer was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC ( $10 \%$ ether/petroleum ether) to give 15: $13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $2958,2936,2895,2860,2200,1748,1462,1335,1170,1084,952,910$, $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=0.6,9.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=1.8,5.8,12.8,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.4,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=5.1,13 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=0.8,2.1,5.7,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.18(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), 0.16 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 194.61, 124.32, 121.27, $96.80,94.80,93.06,86.35,74.74,61.51,59.46,25.77,23.54,22.36,18.30$, $-3.10,-3.32$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Bu}^{4}, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ 271.0791, found $m / e 271.0799$.

1 -[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]-10 $\beta$-thiophenylt ricyclo[7.3.10 $\left.{ }^{2.7}\right]$ -trideca-2,4,6-trien-13-one (16). A solution of 4-chlorothiophenol ( 31 mg , 3.36 equiv), $N$-methylmorpholine ( $21 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and the enone $14(20 \mathrm{mg})$ in 1,4 -cyclohexadiene ( 1 mL ) was heated in a sealed ampule under argon. After heating at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 44 h the mixture was evaporated and the residue purified by PLC ( $10 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give $16: 16 \mathrm{mg}$, $50 \%$; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2930, 2855, 1738, 1450, 1160, 1082, $918 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75-1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$ and $17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=0.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $J=1.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.3,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-2.28$ (q), -2.32 (q), 18.91 (s), 26.29 (q), 25.04 (t), 30.30 (t), 41.20 (t), 49.98 (d), 55.12 (d), 80.30 (s), 127.52 (d), 129.40 (d), 131.80 (s), 133.23 (s), 134.26 (s), 134.94 (d), 142.90 (s), 208.81 (s); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiSCl}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiSCl}\right) 443.1268$, found $m / e 443.1262$.

3 $\beta$-Hydroxy-13-Keto-5-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]-trideca-1,8-diene-6,10-diyne (20). To a mixture of the enone 14 ( 15 mg ) and $N$-(phenylseleno) phthalimide ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1$ equiv) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 1.0 mL ) was added DBU ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2$ equiv). After 18 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ TLC indicated approximately $50 \%$ conversion to a less polar material. A further quantity of $N$-(phenylseleno) phthalimide ( 14 mg ) was added and the mixture stirred for a further 24 h . The solution was evaporated and the residue purified by PLC (silica gel $/ 10 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give 18 ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \% ; 68 \%$ based on recovered 14) and recovered enone $14(3.5 \mathrm{mg})$. To a solution of the allylic selenide $18(11.8 \mathrm{mg})$ in dichloromethane ( 1.0 mL ) and pyridine ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added hydrogen peroxide ( 1.0 mL of a $30 \%$ aqueous solution). The mixture was allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ added was and stirring continued for a further 4 h . The mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ether ( $1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC (silica gel, $40 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give the $3 \beta$-hydroxy enone $20: 6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3410,2940,2855,2190,1710,1255,1150,975 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=0.7,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=0.5,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.70$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=0.7,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.1,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1$ H, ddd, $J=2.0,6.1,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91$ ( 1 H, m, exchanged), $0.92(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11191.25,139.58,136.97,124.62,120.82$, $100.05,95.44,91.48,87.77,74.53,67.00,25.81,23.90,18.33,-2.95$, -3.24; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 328.1488$, found $m / e 328.1480$.

13 $\beta$-Hydroxy-5,13-bis[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]-trideca-1,8-diene-6,10-diyne (22). Freshly sublimed selenium dioxide (5.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $9(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane ( 1.0 mL ). The resulting suspension was stirred at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was diluted with ether and poured in saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ). The organic phase was separated, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified by PLC on silica gel using ether/hexane $1: 20$ ) to give the enone $14(2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%)$ and the hemiketal $22: 11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 114-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
(ethanol/water); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3505, $2190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=9.53,0.90,0.70 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34-2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.02-2.20(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.93(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.04,129.30,123.18$, 121.52, 103.78, 99.34, 98.39, 85.91, 85.34, 76.21, 34.56, 26.32, 26.06, $25.61,23.10,18.24,18.08,-0.31,-2.45,-2.61,-2.88$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 444.2516$, found $m / e$ 444.2481. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 67.53 ; \mathrm{H} .9 .07$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.88$.

3 $\beta, 13 \beta$-Dihydroxy-5,13-bis[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]bicyclo-[7.3.1]trideca-1,8-diene-6,10-diyne (23). Selenium dioxide ( $26.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of the hemiketal $22(58.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol ) in dioxane ( 4 mL ). The resulting suspension was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and worked up as for 22 to give 23: $26.9 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$; mp 143-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dioxane/water); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3589,3507,1472,1467 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.5$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s), $4.16(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=7.6,5.9,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.32(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 0.92$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.918(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.17$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 220.5,143.66,130.67,123.39$, $121.62,102.51,99.47,98.16,85.74,85.66,63.89,46.29,26.31,25.95$, $25.47,18.18,18.04,-0.42,-2.55,-2.70,-2.83$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 460.2464$, found $m / e 460.2458$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ : C, $65.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.76$. Found: C, 65.02 ; $\mathrm{H}, 8.66$.

Selenium Dioxide Oxidation of the Enone 14 To Give 20. A mixture of $14(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and selenium dioxide ( 0.608 g ) in dioxane ( 11 mL ) was stirred at $73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 h . The cooled mixture was poured into water ( 50 mL ) and extracted with ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (silica gel with $55 \%$ ether / petroleum ether) to give $20(526 \mathrm{mg})$, $14(421 \mathrm{mg})$, and $24(58 \mathrm{mg})$. The recovered enone $14(421 \mathrm{mg})$ was cycled through another selenium dioxide reaction to give $20(174 \mathrm{mg})$ and $14(212 \mathrm{mg})$, which on further recycling gave $20(88.4 \mathrm{mg})$ and 14 ( 75 mg ). The overall yield of $3 \beta$-hydroxy enone 20 is $788 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ after three cycles.

Conversion of the Hemiketal 23 into the $\mathbf{3 \beta}$-Hydroxy Enone 20. To a stirred solution of the hemiketal $23(4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 0.5 mL ) was added 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with ether to give 24 ( 2.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ).
$3 \beta-[(N$-Ethoxycarbonyl) carbamoyl]-13-keto-5-[(tert -butyldimethylsilyl) oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]trideca-1,8-diene-6,10-diyne (25). A solution of the $3 \beta$-alcohol $20(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.188 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with ethoxycarbonyl isocyanate ( $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.2$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was evaporated to give $\mathbf{2 5}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%)$ as an unstable oil: 'H NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.86$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.22(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=12.8,6.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.15(3 \mathrm{H}$, s),

When the above product was chromatographed over silica gel the, cyclic $N$-ethoxycarbonyl carbamate 26 was isolated ( 216 mg from 186 mg of $\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{8 6 \%}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{q}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.99(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.50,151.43,150.83,126.25,120.40,99.66$, $93.56,92.40,84.42,71.67,71.24,64.00,54.59,51.40,38.75,25.67,23.32$, 18.30, 14.09, -3.13, -3.41; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{M}^{+} 443.1768$, found $m / e 443.1764$.

To a solution of the cyclic carbamate 26 ( 34 mg ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added potassium hexamethyldisilazide ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.3$ equiv of a 1 M solution in THF). After 40 min the mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to give after work-up 23 mg ( $68 \%$ ) of a $1: 1$ mixture ( ${ }^{( } \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) of 25 and 26.

Cycloaromatization of the Cyclic Carbamate 26 to 30. A solution of the carbamate $26(2 \mathrm{mg})$ in freshly distilled 1,4-cyclohexadiene ( 3 mL ) was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere for 15.5 h . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC (eluting with $60 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give the aromatized adduct $28(1 \mathrm{mg})$ and $26(1 \mathrm{mg}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.2$,
$5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.14(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 207.10,151.89,151.12$, $141.60,131.11,128.64,128.34,127.65,126.48,76.79,71.06,64.73$, $64.23,47.84,47.67,37.78,26.24,14.15,-2.47,-2.74$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ requires 430.1686 , found $m / e 430.1680$.

Treatment of $26(32 \mathrm{mg})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) with sodium carbonate ( 500 mg ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h gave the deprotected cyclic carbamate 27: 17 mg, $63 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 5.92 ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=1.5,4.2,17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.59,159.15,125.69,120.84,98.85$, $93.56,92.26,84.42,73.26,72.36,52.05,51.52,39.32,25.72,21.83,18.29$, $-3.06,-3.33$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ Si requires 371.1553 , found $m / e 371.1550$

3 $\beta$-Carbamoyl-13-keto-5-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]-trideca-1,8-diene-6,10-diyne (29). To the alcohol 20 ( $526 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanate ( 440 mg ) suspended in dichloromethane ( 14 mL ) was added dropwise trifluoroacetic acid ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.0$ equiv) and the mixture stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The mixture was poured onto saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 15$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with $60 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give 29: $530 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$; mp $168-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3555,3440,3330,3266,1736,1725,1580,1320,1250,1165$, $1050,983,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=3.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.3,6.4,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=1.8,6.4,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.92$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $190.91,155.52,138.57,135.76,124.63,120.90,99.65,94.99,91.87$, $87.90,74.99,69.08,41.58,25.79,23.93,18.32,-2.97,-3.27$; HRMS caled for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 371.1553$, found $m / e 371.1556$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 64.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.77$. Found: C, $64.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85$; N, 3.82 .

Silylation and Cyclization of 30 to give the Enone 31. To a solution of the carbamate $29(62.3 \mathrm{mg})$ in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) and triethylamine ( $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) After 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the solution was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with ether ( 10 mL ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with $40 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give the N -silylated derivative 30: $77 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3490,2929,2856,1723,1703$ $1471,1343,1305,1279,1255,1165,1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.77(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $0.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) § $191,158,138,136,125,121,99,95,92,88,75,42,27,26,24,20,18$, $-3,-3.5$. This product was used directly in the next step.

Conversion of $\mathbf{3 0}$ into $\mathbf{3 1 / 3 2}$ and 33. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 0}(15 \mathrm{mg})$ in dry THF ( 1.0 mL ) under argon at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $145 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution, 2.5 equiv) and the resultant mixture was stirred for 15 min . A solution of N -(phenylseleneno) phthalimide ( 40 mg ) in THF ( 0.5 mL ) was added and the resulting mixture stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and allowed to warm to 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with ether $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated and the residue purified by PLC ( $20 \%$ ether/petroleum ether to give a mixture of $\mathbf{3 1} / \mathbf{3 2}(15.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2: 1)$. The mixture of $\mathbf{3 1} / \mathbf{3 2}$ was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL )/pyridine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with hydrogen peroxide ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30 \%$ aqueous solution) Work-up as above gave 33: $6 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ overall; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2954,2030$, $2858,1759,1744$ ( 8 h ), 1472, 1369, 1346, 1255, 1197, 1166, $1123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.74(1 \mathrm{H}$ dd, $J=9.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=8.75$ $2.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.23,0.24,0.28(12 \mathrm{H}), 0.89,0.96$ $(18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 196.36,159.33,155.81,124.76$, $124.31,112.75,99.91,98.56,96.85,91.6,80.21,74.96,62.68,26.69$ $25.52,19.26,18.17,-3.24,-3.54,-4.59,-5.03$, HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26}$ $\mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 483.226$, found $m / e 483.2237$

For 32: $3 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ overall; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2956,2930,2897,2885,1755$, $1743,1472,1325,1256,1193,1177,1109,950,865 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ $4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.8$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=17.8,4.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.76(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.98(9 \mathrm{H}$
s), $0.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.34,0.29,0.16,0.13(12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.65,161.05,125.58,121.14,98.96,93.58,92.61,85.51$, $73.58,71.80,55.76,52.03,38.47,21.80,27.31,25.72,19.43,18.27,-3.08$, $-3.36,-3.88,-4.18$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 485.2418$, found $m / e 485.2402$.

Conversion of 32 into 33. A solution of the ketone 32 ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19$ mmol) in THF ( 4 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide ( $780 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) and the resulting dark brown solution stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . A solution of $N$-(phenylseleneno) phthalimide ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5$ equiv) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise and the mixture warmed to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 h . The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with ether $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extracts were evaporated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine $(350 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and hydrogen peroxide ( $1000 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30 \%$ aqueous solution) added. After 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was worked up as above and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with ether/petroleum ether ( $60 \%$ ) to give the enone 33 ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%, 75 \%$ based on recovered starting material) and 32 ( 35 mg , $37 \%$ ).

Conversion of 33 into 34. The enone $33(40 \mathrm{mg})$ in THF ( 3 mL ) and water ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 3 mL ) and extracted with ether ( 5 mL ). The dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with ether to give 34: $25 \mathrm{mg} 70 \%$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 3683,3448,2955,2955$, $2931,1775,1738,1225,1167 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.76$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, J=10,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s), $4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}, J=16,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}, J=16,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.91(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.24(3 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.0,156.49,154.08,124.78,124.37,113.23$, $99.39,98.35,97.07,92.35,81.12,74.99,57.26,40.36,25.52,18.14,-3.25$, -3.48; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 369.1396$, found $m / e$ 369.1388.
(E)-14-Cyano-5-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[7.3.1]trideca-$1,8,13$-triene-6,10-diyne (35). To a suspension of NaH ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 960$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) in dimethoxyethane ( 2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added diethyl cyanomethylphosphonate ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 880 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dropwise, and the mixture stirred until the evolution of hydrogen was complete. The solution was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and transferred via a syringe to a solution of the ketone 14 (285 $\mathrm{mg}, 91 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dimethoxyethane ( 2 mL ) at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$. After 5 min the mixture was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 4 h . The orange solution was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with $10 \%$ ether/petroleum ether, to give 35 ( $274 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2922,2884,2858,2220,1642,1462,1428,1246,1130,800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=9.5,1.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.12$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=13.1,6.7,1.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=13.1,10.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.92(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.58$ (s), 133.40 (d), 132.81 (s), 124.48 (d), 121.15 (d), 117.60 (s), 101.40 (s), 97.3 (s), 88.1 (d), 85.8 (s), 88.8 (s), 71.32 (s), 35.24 (t), 26.61 ( t), 25.7 (q), 25.2 ( t$), 18.1$ ( s$),-2.97$ (q), -3.18 (q); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NOSi} 335.1705$, found $m / e 335.1712$,
(E)-14-(Hydroxymethyl)-5-[(tert -butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo-[7.3.1]trideca-1,8,13-triene-6,10-diyne (36). To a solution of the cyanide $35(141.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.422 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(6.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DIBAL-H in toluene ( $507 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.2$ equiv of a 1.0 M solution). After 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and recooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was quenched by the dropwise addition of $2 \mathrm{NHCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, warmed to room temperature, poured into 1 M aqueous sodium tartrate solution ( 5 mL ), and extracted with ether ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by chromatography over silica gel, eluting with $12 \%$ ether/petroleum ether, to give the aldehyde: $134 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.40$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.93(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.25(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. The aldehyde 35 a ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.396 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(6.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with DIBAL-H ( $476 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 1.2 equiv). After 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was rapidly ( 2 min ) warmed to room temperature, cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Work-up, as above, gave the allylic alcohol 36 ( 120.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 89.5 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3624,3500,2964,2938,2860$, $2190,1662,1468,1250,1130,1080,892,780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 'H NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.74$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.5$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 4.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.25-2.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=13.0,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.6\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s), $0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125.8 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.5$ (s), 133.9 (s), 129.6 (d), 123.5 (d), 122.0 (d), 119.5 (d), 102.0 (s), 100.9 (s), 85.3 (s), 85.1 (s), 77.4 (s), 59.9 (t), $36.8(\mathrm{t}), 29.0(\mathrm{t}), 25.9$ (q), 24.9 (t), 18.3 (s), -2.7 (q), -2.9 (q); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}-t \mathrm{Bu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ 283.1154, found $m / e 283.1156$.
Conversion of the Allyl Alcohol 36 into Its Derived Thioacetate 36. To a solution of the alcohol $36(106 \mathrm{mg})$ in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and the mixture cooled to $-12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 15 min . A solution of freshly prepared sodium thioacetate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 10$ equiv) in methanol ( 2 mL ) was added to the above mixture. After 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was poured into water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue chromatographed over silica gel, eluting with $20 \%$ ether/petroleum ether, to give 37 ( $114.2 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: IR (film) 2958, 2930, 2860, $1695,1255,1130,840,780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ' H NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.73-5.79(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.60-3.70\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=13.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=13.0,9.5,7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.91(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 195.5$ (s), 144.0 (s), 133.6 (s), 130.3 (d), 123.6 (d), 121.9 (d), 115.2 (d), 102.0 (s), 100.9 (s), 85.3 (s), 85.0 (s), 71.5 (s), 37.1 (t), 30.4 (q), 28.6 (t), 28.2 ( t$), 25.9$ (q), 24.9 ( t$), 18.3$ ( s$),-2.8(\mathrm{q}),-3.0(\mathrm{q}) ;$ HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiS} 398.1736$, found $m / e 398.1718$.
$\boldsymbol{S}$-Benzyl Trisulfide Adduct 38. Treatment of a solution of the thioester $37(11.4 \mathrm{mg})$ in ether ( 1.5 mL ) with lithium aluminum hydride ( 35 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min followed by warming to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h gave intermediate thiol 37 a , which was not isolated but used directly. Treatment of the thiol with $N$-(benzylthiosulfenyl)phthalimide ( 20 mg ) in dichloromethane ( 0.8 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h gave the trisulfide 38 ( $13.4 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) after purification by PLC, eluting with $10 \%$ ether/ petroleum ether: IR (film) $2960,2935,2858,1458,1252,1138,1112$, 836, $780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 'H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.89(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{AB}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.56-3.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}, J_{\mathrm{AB}}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24-2.40(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=13.0,9.3,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.93$ ( 9 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 145.1$
(s), 136.6 ( s$), 133.8$ (s), 130.4 (d), 129.5 (d), 128.6 (d), 127.6 (d), 123.6 (d), 121.9 (d), 115.4 (d), 102.2 (s), 100.9 (s), 85.3 (s), 85.1 (s), 71.7 (s), 43.1 (t), 37.24 (t), 37.23 (t), 28.7 (t), 25.9 (q), 24.9 (t), 18.3 ( s$),-2.7$ (q), -2.9 (q); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{OSiS}_{3} 510.1540$, found $m / e$ 510.1525. $S$-Methyl derivative: 'H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.91$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $5.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $17 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.86$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Cyclic Sulfide 40. To a solution of the alcohol $\mathbf{3 6}$ ( $11.9 \mathrm{mg}, 36.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 1.5 mL ) at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added triethylamine ( 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After 15 min potassium ethylxanthate ( 50 mg ) was added and the mixture warmed to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ extract was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by PLC, eluting with $20 \%$ ether/petroleum ether, to give the xanthate 39 ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 80.5 \%$ ). The xanthate 39 ( 11.8 mg ) in dichloromethane ( 0.5 mL ) was treated with ethylenediamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Evaporation in vacuo and chromatography of the residue over silica gel gave the cyclic sulfide 40: $6 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$; ' H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,3.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.4,2.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=8.9,8.0$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.33$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=13.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.93(9 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.3$, $140.6,137.3,129.6,129.5,127.2,114.0,113.4,104.8,90.7,72.5,39.9$, 35.5, 26.5, 25.9, 24.0, 18.2, -3.0, 3.1; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{OSiS}$ 356.1630, found $m / e 356.1624$.
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#### Abstract

The first total synthesis of the novel antitumor metabolite (-)-echinosporin (1) has been achieved. Asymmetric [2 + 2]-photocycloaddition of dihydrofuran acetonide ( + )-8 to 2 -cyclopentenone (7) constituted the cornerstone of the synthetic strategy. Mitsunobu lactonization of hemiacetal acid 43 generated the tricyclic framework of 1 , which embodies a strain energy of ca. $17 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ as estimated by MNDO calculations. The successful synthetic venture permitted assignment of the absolute configuration of echinosporin. Construction of the Corey 11-deoxyprostaglandin intermediate ( + )-49 further demonstrated the utility of $(+)-8$ as a chiral building block.


The isolation of (-)-echinosporin (XK-213) from the fermentation broth of Streptomyces echinosporus was reported by a group from the Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co. (Japan) in 1981. ${ }^{1}$ The structure of 1, initially deduced via spectroscopic and chemical

[^6]methods, was later confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray analysis. ${ }^{2}$ Although 1 displays modest activity against Gram-negative bacteria, its efficacy against several rodent tumor models appears promising. ${ }^{3}$ Moreover, in vitro studies have implicated the in-
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